

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,571	07/10/2003		Masayoshi Usui	USUI-13K	1339
1218	7590	07/11/2005		EXAM	INER
CASELLA			DUNWOODY, AARON M		
274 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10016				ART UNIT	· PAPER NUMBER
				3679	
				DATE MAILED: 07/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	vot .						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/616,571	USUI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Aaron M. Dunwoody	3679					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a riming to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the main the period for the provided by the Office later than three months after the main tearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reeply within the statutory minimum of thirt of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB	aply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	April 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	_						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 5-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8 is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to be a considered to by the an application is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyant ection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 5-7, drawn to a common rail injection system, classified in class
 285, subclass 197.
- II. Claim 8, drawn to a method for forming a common rail injection system, classified in class 29, subclass 890.014.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group 2 and Group 1 are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process which does not subject the inner circumferential surface of the main pipe rail to autofrettage processing.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/616,571

Art Unit: 3679

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Newly submitted claim 8 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: see above.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 8 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 recites, "A common-rail injection system <u>for a diesel engine</u>

<u>comprising a main pipe...a process induced martensite at locations</u>"; however,

claim 5 fails to further define the structure for the common-rail injection system. Claim 5

does have an extended preamble which defines the diesel engine. Therefore, the

Examiner cannot determine the scope of the invention of the instant application.

Art Unit: 3679

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by US patent 5979945, Hitachi et al.

In regards to claim 5, in Figure 1, as best understood, Hitachi et al disclose a common-rail injection system for a diesel engine comprising a main pipe rail with an axially-extending circumferential wall having an inner circumferential surface defining an axial flow passage through the main pipe rail, at least one branch hole extending through the axially-extending circumferential wall of the main pipe rail and communicating with the axial flow passage of the main pipe rail, the main pipe rail being formed from a transformation induced plastic type strength steel, at least portions of which have been processed into residual austenite, the residual austenite being at least at locations adjacent the branch hole and the inner circumferential surface, a compression residual stress being defined in the axially-extending circumferential wall of the main pipe rail at locations adjacent the inner circumferential surface and surrounding the branch hole therein for defining a process induced martensite at the locations.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,571

Art Unit: 3679

In regards to claim 6, as best understood, Hitachi et al disclose a branch connecting body extending transversely from the main pipe rail at locations aligned with the branch hole.

In regards to claim 7, as best understood, Hitachi et al disclose the branch connecting body is formed integrally with the main pipe rail.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/21/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argues that there are structural differences between the subject invention and the Hitachi et al invention, but the Applicant fails to provide these differences in his argument. This leads the Examiner to conclude that there are not any structural differences between the subject invention and the Hitachi et al invention.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron M. Dunwoody whose telephone number is 571-272-7080. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on 571-272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aaron M Dunwoody Primary Examiner Art Unit 3679

.amd